HOMEWORK 1

SHUANGLIN SHAO

ABSTRACT. Please send me an email if you find mistakes. Thanks.

1. P 5. #1.1

Proof. We prove it by math induction. For n = 1, both sides equal to 1.

Suppose the claim is true for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We prove that it is true for n + 1. We consider

$$1 + 2 + \dots + n^{2} + (n+1)^{2} = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1) + (n+1)^{2}$$

by the induction hypothesis. We simplify it further to obtain

$$= \frac{1}{6}(n+1)\left(2n^2 + n + 6n + 6\right) = \frac{1}{6}(n+1)(n+2)(2n+3) = \frac{1}{6}(n+1)[(n+1)+1][2(n+1)+1$$

Thus we prove that

$$1 + 2^2 + 3^2 + \dots + n^2 = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1).$$

2. P 5. #1.7

Proof. We prove it by math induction. For n = 1, it is $7^n - 6n - 1 = 0$, which is divided by 36.

Suppose the claim is true for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. That is to say,

$$7^n - 6n - 1 = 36k$$
,

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We consider

 $7^{n+1}-6(n+1)-1 = 7 \times 7^n - 6n - 7 = 7(6n+1+36k) - 6n - 7 = 36n + 36 \times 7k$ which is divisible by 36. Therefore we prove the claim.

3. P5. # 1.12

Proof. **Part (a).** We skip it.

Part (b). By the formula,

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}n\\k\end{array}\right) = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!},$$

Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} n \\ k-1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} + \frac{n!}{(k-1)!(n-(k-1))!} = \frac{n!}{(k-1)!(n-k)!} \left(\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{n-k+1}\right)$$

$$= \frac{n!}{(k-1)!(n-k)!} \frac{n+1}{k(n-k+1)}$$

$$= \frac{(n+1)!}{k!(n-k+1)}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} n+1 \\ k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Part (c). The claim is true for n = 1. Suppose that it is true for n. Then for n + 1,

$$\begin{split} &(a+b)^{n+1} = (a+b)^n (a+b) \\ &= \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} n\\ 0 \end{array} \right) a^n + \left(\begin{array}{c} n\\ 1 \end{array} \right) a^{n-1} b + \dots + \left(\begin{array}{c} n\\ n-1 \end{array} \right) a b^{n-1} + \left(\begin{array}{c} n\\ n \end{array} \right) b^n \right) (a+b) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\begin{array}{c} n\\ k \end{array} \right) a^{n-k} b^k (a+b) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\begin{array}{c} n\\ k \end{array} \right) a^{n+1-k} b^k + \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\begin{array}{c} n\\ k \end{array} \right) a^{n-k} b^{k+1} \\ &= a^{n+1} + \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} n\\ k \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} n\\ k-1 \end{array} \right) \right) a^{n+1-k} b^k + b^{n+1} \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{c} n+1\\ 0 \end{array} \right) a^{n+1} + \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\begin{array}{c} n+1\\ k \end{array} \right) a^{n+1-k} b^k + \left(\begin{array}{c} n+1\\ n+1 \end{array} \right) b^{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \left(\begin{array}{c} n+1\\ k \end{array} \right) a^{(n+1)-k} b^k. \end{split}$$

This proves the claim.

4. P.13. # 2.3

Proof. Let $r = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}$. Then

$$r^2 - 2 = \sqrt{2}.$$

Then

$$(r^2 - 2)^2 = (\sqrt{2})^2 = 2.$$

We simplify it to obtain

$$r^4 - 4r^2 + 2 = 0.$$

If r is a rational number, then r divides 2 and r is an integer. So there are 4 possibilities of 2, ± 1 and ± 2 . However $r = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}$ is not one of them. This is a contradiction. It proves that r is not a rational number.

Proof. We complete squares to prove that these are rational numbers. Since $4 + 2\sqrt{3} = (\sqrt{3} + 1)^2$,

$$\sqrt{4+2\sqrt{3}} - \sqrt{3} = (1+\sqrt{3}) - \sqrt{3} = 1.$$

Similarly one can also prove that $\sqrt{6+4\sqrt{2}}-\sqrt{2}$ is a rational number. \Box

Proof. (a).For \mathbb{N} , A3, A4, M4 fail.

(b). For \mathbb{Z} , M4 fails.

Proof. (a). Since $|b| \le a$, we prove that $-a \le b \le a$.

If $b \ge 0$, $0 \le a$, $b \le a$. On the other hand, if b < 0, |b| = -b,

$$-b \leq a$$
.

Hence $-a \leq b$. Together we have

$$-a \leq b \leq a.$$

To prove the converse direction, If $b \ge 0$,

 $|b| = b \le a.$

If b < 0, since $-a \le b$,

$$|b| = -b \le a.$$

This proves that $-a \leq b \leq a$.

Proof. We prove it by contradiction. If a > b, let $\epsilon = \frac{a-b}{2}$. Then

$$b + \epsilon = b + \frac{a - b}{2} = \frac{a + b}{2}$$

This is a number strictly larger than b since $\epsilon > 0$. On other hand side,

$$\frac{a+b}{2} = a - \epsilon$$

which is strictly less than a. A contradiction. Therefore $a \leq b$.

9. P26.
$$\#$$
 4.3 & $\#$ 4.4

Proof. For these two problems, we give several examples to show how we achieve the supremum and the infimum.

For # 4.3, we take (a), (e), (k) and (w) as examples. For (a), $\sup = 1$. For (e), $\sup = 1$. For (k), this set is not bounded and so there is no supremum. For (w), since sin is a periodic function, there are only 3 values for $\sin \frac{n\pi}{3}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$0, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}.$$

Therefore the supremum is $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$.

For # 4.4, we take (c), (i) and (n) as examples. For (c), inf = 2. For (i), inf = 0. For (n), inf = $-\sqrt{2}$.

Proof. Firstly for any $a \in S$, $a \leq \max S$. So $\max S$ is an upper bound. Secondly for any upper bound α of S, since $\max S \in S$, $\alpha \geq \max S$. Then by the definition of supremum, we see that $\max S = \sup S$.

11. P27. # 4.9

Proof. Consider $-S = \{-x : x \in S\}$. Then $-S \neq \emptyset$. By hypothesis, if S is bounded below, then -S is bounded above. So $\sup(-S)$ exists, which we denote by a. For $x \in S$,

$$-x \le a, \Rightarrow x \ge -a.$$

For any lower bound β of S, $-\beta$ is an upper bound of -S. Thus we see that

$$a = \sup(-S) \le -\beta, \Rightarrow -a \ge \beta.$$

Thus -a is the infimum of S, $\inf S = -a = -\sup(-S)$.

12. P27. # 4.10

Proof. By Archemedian's property, since a > 0, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_1a > 1$. Hence $a > \frac{1}{n_1}$. On the other hand, for 1 > 0, there exists $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_2 \times 1 > a$. Therefore we take $n = \max\{n_1, n_2\}$ and obtain

 $\frac{1}{n}$

Proof. Firstly there exists a rational number $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that a < r < b by the density property of rational numbers in the real numbers. On the other hand, since $\sqrt{2} > 0$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$n(b-r) > \sqrt{2},$$

which implies that

$$b-r > \frac{\sqrt{2}}{n}.$$

We consider $x = r + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{n}$ that is irrational. Then x < r + (b - r) = b.

$$a < x < b$$
.

14. P27. # 4.12

Proof. (a).Since A and B are bounded sets, $\sup A$ and $\sup B$ exist; A + B is also a bounded set, therefore $\sup(A + B)$ exists.

For any $a \in (A + B)$, a = x + y for $x \in A$ and $y \in B$. Therefore $a = x + y \leq \sup A + \sup B$,

which implies that,

$$\sup(A+B) \le \sup A + \sup B$$

On the other hand, for any $x \in A$ and $y \in B$, $x + y \in A + B$.

$$x + y \le \sup(A + B).$$

Fix y, the above implies that

 $x \le \sup(A+B) - y.$

Therefore

 $\sup A \le \sup(A+B) - y.$

To continue, we rewrite it as follows,

 $y \le \sup(A+B) - \sup A.$

which implies,

$$\sup A + \sup B \le \sup(A + B).$$

Therefore

$$\sup A + \sup B = \sup(A + B).$$

(b). This follows from part (a) and Ex. 4.9.

Proof. This follows from density of rational numbers in \mathbb{R} .

16. P30. # 5.4

Proof. By Ex. 4.9, we just need to prove the case where $\inf S = -\infty$. This is the case where S is not bounded below. So -S is not bounded above. So

$$\sup(-S) = +\infty$$

Hence

$$\inf S = -\sup(-S)$$

Department of Mathematics, KU, Lawrence, KS 66045

 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{slshao}\texttt{Qmath.ku.edu}$